

DAVID H. KRAMER, SBN 168452
 MENG JIA YANG, SBN 311859
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
 Professional Corporation
 650 Page Mill Road
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100
 Email: dkramer@wsgr.com
 Email: mjyang@wsgr.com

BRIAN M. WILLEN (*pro hac vice*)
 BENJAMIN D. MARGO (*pro hac vice*)
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH &
 ROSATI
 Professional Corporation
 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor
 New York, NY 10019-6022
 Telephone: (212) 999-5800
 Facsimile: (212) 999-5801
 Email: bwillen@wsgr.com
 Email: bmargo@wsgr.com

Attorneys for Defendants
 YOUTUBE, LLC and SUNDAR PICHAI

[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

ANDREI POPOVICI, SBN 234820
 MARIE FIALA, SBN 79676
 LAW OFFICE OF ANDREI D. POPOVICI, P.C.
 2121 North California Blvd., #290
 Walnut Creek, CA 94596
 Telephone: (650) 530-9989
 Facsimile: (650) 530-9990
 Email: andrei@apatent.com
 Email: marie@apatent.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 DONALD J. TRUMP, the Forty-Fifth President
 of the United States, KELLY VICTORY,
 AUSTEN FLETCHER, AMERICAN
 CONSERVATIVE UNION, ANDREW
 BAGGIANI, MARYSE VERONICA JEAN-
 LOUIS, NAOMI WOLF, FRANK
 VALENTINE, INDIVIDUALLY, AND ON
 BEHALF OF THOSE SIMILARLY
 SITUATED

[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 OAKLAND DIVISION

DONALD J. TRUMP, KELLY VICTORY,
 AUSTEN FLETCHER, AMERICAN
 CONSERVATIVE UNION, ANDREW
 BAGGIANI, MARYSE VERONICA JEAN-
 LOUIS, NAOMI WOLF, and FRANK
 VALENTINE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

YOUTUBE, LLC and SUNDAR PICHAI,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 4:21-cv-08009-JSW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER SETTING BRIEFING
 SCHEDULE FOR PRELIMINARY
 INJUNCTION MOTION AND
 MOTION TO DISMISS**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules, 6-1, 6-2, and 7-12, and the Court's Civil Standing Order, Plaintiffs Donald J. Trump, Kelly Victory, Austen Fletcher, American Conservative Union, Andrew Baggiani, Maryse Veronica Jean-Louis, Naomi Wolf, and Frank Valentine, and Defendants YouTube, LLC and Sundar Pichai (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel of record submit the following Stipulation:

WHEREAS, on July 7, 2021, certain of the Plaintiffs filed the Complaint in this action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida, seeking an injunction and damages against Defendants for alleged violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and a declaratory judgment that 47 U.S.C. § 230 is unconstitutional, and seeking to represent a nationwide class (Dkt. 1);

WHEREAS, on July 7, 2021, Plaintiff Donald J. Trump and others filed similar complaints in *Donald J. Trump et al. v. Facebook, Inc. and Mark Zuckerberg*, No. 1:21-cv-22440 (S.D. Fla.), and *Donald J. Trump et al. v. Twitter, Inc. and Jack Dorsey*, No. 1:21-cv-22441 (S.D. Fla.), both of which also seek an injunction and damages for alleged violations of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and declaratory judgments that 47 U.S.C. § 230 is unconstitutional, and seeking to represent nationwide classes;

WHEREAS, on July 9, 2021, Plaintiffs gave notice to the United States Government pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.1(a) that their Complaint draws into question the constitutionality of a federal statute (Dkt. 7);

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed the Amended Complaint on July 27, 2021, reasserting their First Amendment and Declaratory Judgment Act claims and adding two new claims under Florida law, including under Florida's recently enacted "Stop Social Media Censorship Act" (Dkt. 21);

WHEREAS, a constitutional challenge to Florida's "Stop Social Media Censorship Act" is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida, which preliminarily enjoined enforcement of the Act by the State Defendants on June 30, 2021, *NetChoice, LLC v. Moody*, 2021 WL 2690876, at *11-12 (N.D. Fla. June 30, 2021) (Hinkle, J.),

1 *appeal docketed*, No. 21-12355 (11th Cir. July 13, 2021); the order granting the preliminary
2 injunction has been appealed to the United States Court of Appeal for the Eleventh Circuit;

3 WHEREAS, on August 16, 2021, Plaintiff Donald J. Trump was granted permission by
4 Judge K. Michael Moore of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
5 to file a Motion for Preliminary Injunction of up to 30 pages, excluding the title page, indices of
6 cases, table of contents, and exhibits, in excess of the default page limits allowed under that
7 Court's Local Rules (Dkts. 32-34);

8 WHEREAS, on August 23, 2021, Plaintiff Donald J. Trump filed a Motion for
9 Preliminary Injunction, which was 30 pages in length excluding the title page, indices of cases,
10 and table of contents, and accompanied by 183 exhibits (Dkts. 43, 45);

11 WHEREAS, on August 31, 2021, Plaintiffs sought waivers of service under Fed. R. Civ.
12 P. 4(d) from Defendants, which were signed on behalf of YouTube and Mr. Pichai on August 31,
13 2021, setting Defendants' deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaint at
14 November 1, 2021 (Dkts. 61-62);

15 WHEREAS, on September 2, 2021, Judge Moore granted Defendants' motion to defer
16 setting a briefing schedule on the Motion for Preliminary Injunction pending the Court's ruling
17 on Defendants' forthcoming motion to transfer the case from the Southern District of Florida to
18 this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(b) and the forum-selection clause in the YouTube Terms
19 of Service agreement (Dkt. 56);

20 WHEREAS, on September 2, 2021, Judge Moore stayed the deadline for the United
21 States Government to intervene in this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.1(c), pending a ruling
22 on Defendants' forthcoming motion to transfer (*id.*);

23 WHEREAS, on September 17, 2021 Defendants filed a motion to transfer this action
24 from the Southern District of Florida to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(b) (Dkt. 64);

25 WHEREAS, on October 5, 2021, the parties filed a Joint Scheduling Report in which
26 they proposed to defer setting a full calendar of case deadlines until after resolution of the
27 Defendants' pending motion to transfer to the Northern District of California (Dkt. 69);
28

1 WHEREAS, on October 6, 2021, Judge Moore granted Defendants' motion to transfer
2 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(b) and denied all pending motions, including the Motion for
3 Preliminary Injunction, as moot in light of the order granting the motion to transfer (Dkt. 70);

4 WHEREAS, the action was transferred to this District on October 13, 2021 (Dkt. 71);

5 WHEREAS, following the recusal of two other Judges of this Court, this case was
6 assigned to the Honorable Jeffrey S. White on October 21, 2021 (Dkt. 88);

7 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Donald J. Trump has indicated his intention to renew his Motion
8 for Preliminary Injunction in this Court;

9 WHEREAS, Defendants intend to respond to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint by filing a
10 motion to dismiss all claims pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) and to oppose Plaintiff's
11 renewed Motion for Preliminary Injunction;

12 WHEREAS, the Parties anticipate that briefing on the Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary
13 Injunction and Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss will involve multiple complex issues of
14 law, including a constitutional challenge to a federal statute (47 U.S.C. § 230), as to which the
15 United States Government may wish to intervene to express its views, and the parties and the
16 Court will benefit from increasing the default page limits and enlarged time for briefing these
17 threshold legal issues;

18 WHEREAS, the Parties believe that the presentation of the threshold legal issues raised
19 by this case will be more efficient if briefing is combined on the Motion for Preliminary
20 Injunction and Defendants' motion to dismiss.

21 WHEREAS, counsel to the Parties have reviewed the ADR Local Rules and the
22 Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures Handbook, and the parties agree that ADR processes
23 such as Early Neutral Evaluation, Mediation, and/or a Settlement Conference are unlikely to be
24 beneficial, and that settlement of the case is unlikely;

25 WHEREAS, there has been no previous modification of Defendants' deadline to answer
26 or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaint;

27 WHEREAS, there is currently no case schedule in place, or any briefing schedule for
28 Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction; and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that they will benefit from and not be prejudiced by the schedule contemplated in this stipulation;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and respectfully ask the Court to enter the attached proposed order, which provides that:

1. Defendants' deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaint shall be extended to December 2, 2021.

2. Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction Motion (Dkt. 43) shall be considered refiled in this Court as is, namely 30 pages in length, excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, after being denied as moot in the Southern District of Florida;

3. Defendants' deadline to oppose Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction Motion shall be December 2, 2021.

4. Defendants shall file their opposition to Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction Motion and their anticipated motion to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) in a single combined brief, not to exceed 35 pages, excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits.

5. Plaintiffs' shall file their Reply in support of their Preliminary Injunction Motion, along with their opposition to Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss, in a single combined brief, not to exceed 35 pages, excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents and exhibits, by January 12, 2022.

6. Defendants shall file their reply in support of their anticipated motion to dismiss, not to exceed 20 pages, excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, by February 4, 2022.

7. Any hearing on the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and/or motion to dismiss shall be held at the earliest available hearing date on or after February 18, 2022.

8. The Parties' deadlines to prepare a case management statement and a conference pursuant to Local Civil Rule 16 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26, any calendared dates for a conference with the Court regarding discovery, and any ADR deadlines shall be stayed pending

1 this Court's resolution of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Defendants' forthcoming
2 motion to dismiss.

3 Respectfully submitted.

4 Dated: October 28, 2021

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation

By: /s/ Brian M. Willen

Brian M. Willen
bwillen@wsgr.com

8 AMIT Q. GRESSEL, SBN 307663
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
One Market Plaza
Spear Tower, Suite 3300
San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
Telephone: (415) 947-2000
Facsimile: (415) 947-2099
Email: agresel@wsgr.com

13 STEFFEN N. JOHNSON (*admitted pro hac vice*)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
1700 K Street NW, Fifth Floor
Washington, DC 20006-3817
Telephone: (202) 973-8800
Facsimile: (202) 973-8899
Email: sjohnson@wsgr.com

Attorneys for Defendants
YOUTUBE, LLC and SUNDAR PICHAI

19 Dated: October 28, 2021

LAW OFFICE OF ANDREI D. POPOVICI, P.C.

By: /s/ Andrei Popovici

Andrei Popovici
andrei@apatent.com

23 Frank C. Dudenhefer, Jr.
THE DUDENHEFER LAW FIRM L.L.C.
2721 Saint Charles Avenue, Suite 2A
New Orleans, LA 70130
Telephone: (504) 616-5226
Email: fcdlaw@aol.com

26 John P. Coale
2901 Fessenden Street NW
Washington, DC 20008
Telephone: (202) 255-2096
Email: johnpcoale@aol.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

John Q. Kelly
THE KELLY GROUP, P.C.
516 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10036
Telephone: (212) 704-0500
Email: jqkelly@ibolaw.com

Michael J. Jones
Ryan Tougias
Roland A. Paul
Sean M. Hamill
IVEY, BARNUM & O'MARA
170 Mason Street
Greenwich, CT 06830
Telephone: (203) 661-6000
Email: mjones@ibolaw.com
Email: rtougias@ibolaw.com
Email: rpaul@ibolaw.com

Richard Polk Lawson
GARDNER BREWER MARTINEZ MONFORT
400 North Ashley Drive
Suite 1100
Tampa, FL 33602
Telephone: (813) 221-9600
Facsimile: (813) 221-9611
Email: rlawson@gbmmlaw.com

Matthew Lee Baldwin
VARGAS GONZALEZ HEVIA BALDWIN, LLP
815 Ponce de Leon Blvd.
Third Floor
Coral Gables, FL 33134
Telephone: (305) 631-2528
Facsimile: (305) 631-2741
Email: MBaldwin@VargasGonzalez.com

ATTORNEY ATTESTATION

I, Brian M. Willen, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with N.D. Cal. Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other Signatories.

By: /s/ Brian M. Willen
Brian M. Willen

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS SO ORDERED THAT:

1. Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction Motion (Dkt. 43) in its present form, namely thirty (30) pages in length, excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, shall be considered re-filed in this Court after being denied as moot in the Southern District of Florida.

2. Defendants' deadline to answer or move to dismiss the Amended Complaint is extended to December 2, 2021.

3. Defendants shall file their opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction and any motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, in a single brief not to exceed 35 pages excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, by December 2, 2021.

4. Plaintiffs shall reply in further support of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and oppose Defendants' motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, in a single brief not to exceed 35 pages excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, by January 12, 2022.

5. Defendants shall reply in further support of the motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, in a brief not to exceed 20 pages excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, by February 4, 2022.

6. Any hearing on the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and/or Defendant's motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint shall be set for the earliest available hearing date on or after February 18, 2022.

7. The Parties' deadlines to prepare a case management statement and to confer pursuant to Local Civil Rule 16 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26, along with any ADR deadlines, are hereby STAYED pending resolution of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Defendants' forthcoming motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint.

Dated: _____

HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE